



NFRC 2008 Spring Membership Meeting
March 3 – 6, 2008, Nashville, Tennessee

Minutes

Component Modeling Approach (CMA) - Technical Subcommittee

Tuesday, March 4, 2008

Under Technical Committee Block: 2:00 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.

Chair: Mike Manteghi- Traco, Inc.

Co-Chair: Gary Curtis-WestWall Group

-
1. The Chair, called the meeting to order at 5:20 PM.
 2. Scott Hanlon (Staff) appointed recording secretary
 3. Minutes from November 2007 (Tempe, AZ) meeting were approved as presented.
 4. The agenda was reviewed and approved as presented
 5. Reports
 - a. CMA Technical Task Group – Michael Thoman reported that the TG expects to have a ballot for the July membership meeting.
 - b. CMA Non-Standard Products Task Group – Charlie Curcija reported that the TG has a presentation for the TG, which will be presented at next meeting.
 6. New Business
 - a. NFRC 100 – Generic CMA Frame Values Ballot
 - i. Velux approved with comment: - R. LeBrun was not present at the time this comment was reviewed. No action taken.
 - ii. ATI negative:
MOTION: T. Culp / M. Webb moved to find the ATI negative non-persuasive. Motion passes: 19-8-8.
 - iii. WESTLab negative:
MOTION: J. Baker / J. Hayden moved to find the WESTLab negative persuasive and send the default table back to the TG to review the worst case values. Motion passes: 14-10-6.
 - b. NFRC 100 – CMA Frame Grouping Rules Ballot
 - i. Marvin approved with comment:
Withdrawn
 - ii. QTI approved with comment:
QTI comments will be taken into consideration when revising language for next ballot.
 - iii. WESTLab negative:
MOTION: J. Baker / M. Thoman moved to find the WESTLab negative persuasive and drop off PFD from tables 5.6.2., 5.6.3, and 5.6.4 and change material wall thickness to largest wall thickness in table 5.6.3. Motion passes: 18-14-6.

J. Hayden – personal recommendation to ballot entire document.

c. NFRC 200 – Generic CMA Frame Values Ballot

i. QTI approved with comment:

A_f should be consistent with current technical documents. Other comments were addressed by previous actions.

ii. WESTLab negative:

Address by previous action

MOTION: J. Baker / R. Temple moved that based on previous action at U-Factor SC on Generic Frame values to send this ballot back. Motion passes by unanimous voice vote.

d. NFRC 100 – CMA Spacer Grouping Rules Ballot

i. Pella approved with comment:

Withdrawn

MOTION: J. Baker / M. Marles move CMA Spacer Grouping ballot forward to Technical Committee with an editorial correlation of generic sealants. Motion passes by unanimous voice vote.

7. **New Business**

a. Industry association comments on why ballots were not forwarded from the following member companies:

i. G. Carney – GANA did not respond to the CMA ballots because of their frustrations that their negatives from previous ballots, as well as task group contributions have been ignored; for example, we continue to use the term “spacer system” in the balloted language to refer to the spacer and sealant/desiccant combined.

ii. M. Webb – IGMA did not respond to the CMA ballots because of similar issues represented by GANA.

iii. The Chair, NFRC Staff, GANA, IGMA, and specific CMA representatives will have a conference call to discuss the issues representing their frustrations.

8. The Tuesday March 4, 2008 meeting was adjourned at 6:00PM; which will re-convene Wednesday March 5, 2008 at 8:00AM. CMA SC re-convened at 8:00 and adjourned at 8:53 AM.