



CMA Labeling Task Group  
Conference Call Notes  
12/13/2006

Attendees: Charlie Curcija, Tom Culp, Greg McKenna, Bruce Croak, Dan Wacek, and Jessica Ferris

The Group reviewed the Product Label Certificate, Framing Component Certificate, and Project Label Certificate.

Product Label Certificate:

Bruce Croak commented that there appeared to be an inordinate amount of information on this certificate. It was explained to him that the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> pages of the proposed certificate were optional.

There was further discussion about whether or not this optional information should be part of the certificate at all or if it should be information that can be generated separate and apart from it from the CMA software tool.

- It was decided that this optional information (pages 2 and 3) will be removed from the product certificate.
- In addition, it was decided that the “generic table” (optional page 3) will be added to framing component certificate.

The group agreed that it would be a good idea to develop a table format of the size-specific ratings data on the certificate as opposed to the chart currently presented.

- Charlie will develop a table for the group to review.

Framing Component Certificate:

The group agreed to try and incorporate all framing component data (sill, jamb, head, etc) on one page instead of having a separate page for each framing component.

As previously mentioned, it was agreed that the “generic table,” optional information from the product certificate, be incorporated into the framing component certificate.

Project Label Certificate:

The group agreed that the area-weighted data should be highlighted and emphasized on this certificate.

- This information will be listed first and a larger font will be used to highlight the data. Reference to area-weighted Air Leakage will be removed.

There was discussion about the differences between the project and product certificate and which certificate was required for code compliance. Charlie indicated to the group

that it was his understanding that there are or will be two options, so the responsible party can use either the product or project certificate depending on compliance requirements.

Next Steps/Action Items:

Charlie will make additional revisions to the certificates per discussions had by the group today, and will e-mail this latest set of revisions to the task group this week. If everyone is in agreement with these revisions, then Charlie will prepare them for ballot in March. If there is need for further discussion based on any additional feedback from the group regarding these latest revisions, then another conference call will be convened.

Meeting Adjourned @ 12:10 PM