

11/27/07 conference call notes

From today's call with the augmented PMTG, here are the changes we are asking Mahabir to make to the final report:

1. Change the yellow boxes in Table 6 (and the corresponding table on page 20) to double asterisks, indicating that there is insufficient data in the CPD to assign a default value at this time. The group felt there was too much uncertainty in trying to apply the values from one product type to another.
2. Change the footnote to read something like "\*\*\* - Sufficient data is not available for this category."
3. Include additional wording in the report that the CPD lacked sufficient data for some product types, which warrants additional caution in setting a worst case value.
4. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of section 1.4, clarify the wording about what is meant by "the average frame U factors were extracted from the available W5 mdbs." Describe in more detail that the conservative frame U factor was derived by using the area-weighted average U factor of the different frame sections (e.g. jambs, head, sill) in the worst performing product found in the CPD search.
5. Table 6 should report the simple results on worst-case values found via the research methodology using the CPD, with no penalty applied.
6. In the recommendations for the technical documents on page 20, the researchers may recommend whatever they deem appropriate. If you apply a 10% penalty, mention that this value was previously recommended by the PMTG. However, if you believe something different should be used, you are free to do that also, although you should be clear about what value you are using and why.

Mahabir will make the changes, and send out the revised report this week for review.

Thanks,  
Tom