

Technical Interpretation Policy Committee

April 23, 2010

11:00 am – 12:00 pm

Chair: Tom Culp, Birch Point Consulting, LLC

Vice Chair: Randy Van Voorst, NCTL-NW/Quality Testing

Staff Liaison: Dennis Anderson

Minutes

- Roll Call at 11:03 AM:

COMPANY	NAME	PRESENT
Birch Point Consulting, LLC	Tom Culp (Chair)	Y
Quality Testing, Inc.	Randy Van Voorst (Vice-Chair)	Y
Architectural Testing, Inc.	Mike Thoman	Y
WESTLab	Jeff Baker	Y
AMSCO Windows	Joe Jonely	N
Jeld Wen	Steve Strawn	Y
Carli	Charlie Curcija	Y
Associated Labs, Inc.	Brad Schultz	N

- Invited guests were: John Lewis, Scott Hanlon, Lucas Turner, Joseph Holmes/Mike Young, Marcia Falke, Sneh Kumar,
- This TIPC conference call was a special conference call to discuss TI-2010-02, approved by TIPC at the New Orleans NFRC Meeting, and also ratified by the Board in New Orleans. However, the implementation plan proposed by TIPC of how to handle the consequences of this TI on the labs, IAs, and NFRC Staff was not approved by the Board. The proposed implementation plan was as follows:
 - Approve TI-2010-02 with the following directions to NFRC Staff, Laboratories, and IA's on how to implement this TI:
 - For approved frame components already in the CMAST Frame Library and marked as "Vertical Meeting Rail":
 - NFRC staff shall remove the frame component and notify the sim lab.
 - The sim lab shall correct the Cross Section Type to "Jamb" and resubmit the frame component.
 - The IA shall approve the modified component.
 - For frame components that have been submitted by the lab but not yet approved:
 - The IA shall reject the frame component to send it back to the sim lab.
 - The sim lab shall correct the Cross Section Type to "Jamb" and resubmit the frame component.
 - The IA shall continue with their normal review for approval.

- Brief points during the open discussion of this TI were as follows:
 - L. Turner was against this TI and thought it would bring more confusion on the ACEs, especially with the future introduction of complex products as to ascertaining a true vertical meeting rail versus a jamb.
 - R. VanVoorst mentioned that with no required naming convention of the files in CMAST that having jambs set as a vertical meeting rail is a problem.
 - C. Curcija suggested that there needs to be short term and long term plan to deal with this. Short term – change the database; Long term – have new cross-section types available for CMAST since head/sills are really horizontal mullions or intermediates and vertical meetings rails are really vertical mullions or intermediates.
 - M. Thoman also mentioned that new cross-section types are needed in Therm or in CMAST for use. He is was opposed to any required naming convention.
 - Sneh Kumar suggested we put on a hold on this TI.
- A straw poll was taken of everyone on the call to choose between 2 options
 1. Suspend the TI indefinitely and work on a recommendation in the naming convention
 2. Do not suspend the TI and allow either Jamb or Vertical Meeting Rail as cross-section type in CMAST.The result of the straw poll was 13 for #1, 0 for #2.

Motion: J. Baker / S. Strawn motioned to rescind TI-2010-02. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

- Naming convention requirements to be developed by a Working Group, led by John Lewis, and forwarded to the CMA Steering Committee for discussion.
 - The next scheduled conference call is Monday, May 12 at 12:05 PM ET
- Adjourned at 12:05 PM.